

RED HOOK TOWN BOARD MEETING

March 26, 2008

A meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Red Hook, Dutchess County, New York was convened in public session at the Town Hall, 7340 South Broadway, Red Hook at 7:30 p.m.

Present: Supervisor Sue Crane
Councilman James Ross
Councilwoman Micki Strawinski
Councilman Harry Colgan
Councilman Robert Latimer
Town Clerk Sue McCann

Also Present: Attorney for the Town Christine Chale

Supervisor Crane welcomed everyone to the meeting and opened with the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Carrie Watkins Bates of Scenic Hudson thanked the Board for their time regarding the Northern Assemblage. She is available to answer questions.

Tom Cordier, Mayor of the Village of Tivoli, commented on the open space and farmland protection in the Town. In the last four to six months about seven houses were sold in Tivoli. He spoke to some of the new residents of the homes and asked why they moved here. Their comments were that they liked the rural community, the open space and the farmlands. They bought large parcels probably spending \$60,000 to \$80,000 a year in taxes. Their input is to keep Red Hook rural and a lot more people would want to move here.

Brenda Cagle presented a memo from the CAC regarding the parcels known as the Northern Assemblage. The CAC found that this area:

- Contains more than 230 acres with statewide designated and prime soils
- Borders approximately ½ mile along scenic Route 9G
- Borders approximately ½ mile along state designated scenic road Stony Brook road
- Abuts state owned Clermont Park
- Provides a contiguous large tract of land, even larger when considering Clermont State Park, a desirable characteristic for farming purposes
- Contains a contiguous tract of forested area beneficial as a wildlife corridor, especially considering the connection to forested areas of Clermont State Park
- Is divided by approximately 2000 feet of the Stonykill, a stream used by Tivoli as a reserve water supply

For these reasons, the CAC believes the area is worth protecting under the Town's PDR program.

Robert McKeon, Chair of the Ag & Open Space Committee, urged the Board to vote in favor of the combined entity. It is an important critical mass of farmland in our Town. It is an incredibly important asset. Sales are increasing rapidly for agricultural products in our community.

Lee Palmer of Tivoli is in favor of protecting this land. It's a great opportunity. He hopes the Board will vote in favor of it.

There were no further comments.

RICHARD AND LEE ANN HANSEN SUBDIVISION

Andy Howard, an Attorney from Hudson, NY, referred to correspondence regarding the history of the Hansen's subdivision application. The reason they were at the meeting was regarding recreational fees. He explained the subdivision involved the creation of three lots. One of the primary impetuses behind the application was to create a little over one acre parcel for the Hansen's son which is part of the application. In connection with that he heard people speak tonight concerning the importance of farmland. As part of the application the Hansen's dedicated 55% of their land permanently for agricultural purposes. That is in a conservation easement which was accepted by the Town of Red Hook which permanently restricts that land. In connection with that a question arose concerning recreational fees. It was their interpretation that it was for good reason Red Hook has regulations in their Town code in situations where a

developer looks to establish residential housing and has no provision for open space or recreational land. In connection with this particular application due to the fact that such a significant portion was being permanently reserved for agriculture the purpose of the Town code was being fulfilled by that dedication of land. The way it is characterized is payment of fees in lieu of dedication. Here there was a commitment of a significant amount of acreage. Over a period of time the Planning Board held fast to the payment of fees which were paid. Recently it has come to their attention that in addition to the code provision, the Town of Red Hook does have a fee schedule that does specifically provide, and has done so prior to 2002, that there will be no fee charged for land left in the agricultural district after subdivision or for existing dwellings. In our particular situation the Hansen's were not charged for the existing dwelling that they had. There were three lots, one an existing dwelling. They were charged for the land that remained in the agricultural district. They went to the meeting to let the Board know this was happening. Due to the fact that the fees have been paid to the Town and our now presently in the Town coffers they request the Board consider reimbursing Mr. & Mrs. Hansen for the \$6,000 in fees which Mr. Howard believes were charged in error.

Supervisor Crane asked Attorney Chale if she had a response to that or an interpretation of that.

Attorney Chale suggested discussing it with her. She asked Mr. Howard if they were not aware of the provisions of the recreation fees at the time they were in front of the Planning Board.

Mr. Howard said that was one argument that they were unaware of. What he submitted to the Board was that in looking at the terms of the code, it seems that an interpretation was if you had an applicant who was permanently dedicating land within an agricultural district that land should be exempted from the normal subdivision process. The issue of their own fee schedule and the apparent practice of it never came up and he was not aware of it.

Attorney Chale asked if the land was in agricultural production.

Mr. Howard answered they have horses and it is in the Agricultural District.

Mr. Hansen responded that they have horses and the land is being hayed. It is in the Ag District and has never been taken out.

Mr. Howard said it was farmed before, during and after this process.

Councilman Colgan clarified that it was a single parcel subdivided into three parcels. One of them with an existing residence, one had a residence built on it, and the third parcel is still being hayed. He asked who holds the deed.

Mr. Howard responded that the deed is held by the Hansen's but the Town of Red Hook actually holds a conservation easement of over 12.75 acres.

Supervisor Crane clarified that the \$6,000 was charged for the new subdivision which is where their son now lives and is a separate parcel from the Ag District parcel. She asked if she was correct.

Mr. Howard responded that she was correct. Final approval was in December of 2006. The discussions about the rec. fees went to April or May of 2007. He wanted to give the Board this information so they can understand the realities out there. There are applicants not looking to do commercial development and who are looking to follow the terms and conditions of the zoning code who are acting in recognition of the concern the Board had to preserving open space. This isn't a situation where a small piece of land is dedicated, it is a significant portion overall.

Supervisor Crane said it was a Planning Board requirement that there be a conservation easement on the subdivision. She stated that reason the Town Board agreed to hold that conservation easement was because there was no agency who dealt with conservation easements that small. The Town Board said OK if you want it badly enough we will hold the conservation easement and we will monitor it. They agreed to do it in order to meet the Planning Board requirement that they get the subdivision they ask for.

Councilman Ross clarified that the question is really not the fee on one of the lots, it's the fee on both of the lots because of the fact that they are still in an agricultural district. One section of our code says "no fees will be charged for property in an Ag District" which could apply to a lot of subdivisions and another section says "you are going to pay a fee for every increase in the number of lots".

Mr. Howard said according to law there was a significant dedication of land involved. The Hansen's clearly set aside a significant portion of land so it seems that in conjunction with what is on the books, they should be excused from making the payment of fees.

Councilman Ross asked what seems to be the practice.

Mr. Howard answered it seems that the fee schedule is if you have your lands remaining in an Ag District and you have an existing dwelling, in that instance according to the Town's own fee schedule, you shouldn't be charged. It seems to him that is the practice the Town must be following.

Councilman Ross said the Planning Board has never charged for the existing one parcel whether it has a dwelling on it or not. It is the increase in the number of parcels and they've always charged that regardless of what the fee was at the time. This is the first time to Councilman Ross' knowledge that someone has questioned the other section in the code that says as long as the property is still in the agricultural district which it may remain, that section says there shall be no fees.

Attorney Chale asked if Mr. Howard brought this to the Planning Board.

Mr. Howard has not yet and the reason for that is that the fees have been paid and are in the Town treasury so he thinks this would be the Board that makes the decision.

Supervisor Crane will have the Board meet with counsel about this.

RESOLUTION APPROVING LAND USE TRAINING FOR TOWN OF RED HOOK PLANNING BOARD AND ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Councilman Ross questioned why the Board needed a resolution. It's always been our practice to pay for training.

Attorney Chale responded that the Planning Board just wanted approval for purposes of courses to be approved for their four hours of training. Any one of these courses offered by any one of these groups would meet that training so we wouldn't need further approval. The Boards want to take care of this in advance. They would have an agreement with this Board about what type of training would be reasonable for them to take. It is within the jurisdiction of this Board to decide the types of training. The financial issue is a separate issue.

Councilwoman Strawinski confirmed that this would be preapproved.

Supervisor Crane has no problem moving the Board approve land use training with the recommended agencies.

Councilman Ross asked, for discussion purposes, if the Chairmen of the committees will let the Board know before they receive the voucher for payment that someone is taking the courses. He never recalls them taking the course then sending the voucher. That in essence is what this is going to do.

Supervisor Crane said the final resolution is that the Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals shall each create and maintain a system of tracking. It puts the onus on the Chair of that Board or the Secretary of that Board to make sure there are records kept and submitted.

Councilman Colgan doesn't see any abuse here.

Councilman Ross said the problem is they won't have any idea what is in the budget and what is allowed and that is what can become a problem for us from a budgeting perspective.

Attorney Chale said this doesn't address the budgetary issues. If the Board wants to add something that addresses that its fine.

Councilman Ross said provided that Chairman has budgeted so much at the beginning of the year and asks us to approve that in that budget. Otherwise we sit hear wondering why we have so many transfers in the budget. He wants the Board to know before it happens. Does the Chair realize that this puts the onus on them to watch their budget?

Attorney Chale suggested that if the Board wants to deal with the money issue they should consider adding be it further resolved that the Chair will consult with the Supervisor to maintain the budget.

RESOLUTION #21 2008

RE: APPROVING LAND USE TRAINING FOR TOWN OF RED HOOK PLANNING BOARD AND ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS

On a motion of Supervisor Sue Crane, seconded by Councilman Harry Colgan, move to accept the resolution as amended.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Copy Attached

RESOLUTION #22 2008

RE: ESTABLISHING A DATE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE ADOPTION BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF RED HOOK OF LOCAL LAW NO. 5 (PROPOSED) OF 2008, PROVIDING FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF ALTERNATE MEMBERS TO THE PLANNING BOARD AND ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

On a motion of Councilman James Ross, seconded by Councilman Harry Colgan, move to set the Public Hearing date to be April 8, 2008 at 7:20 p.m.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Copy Attached

The vote was taken after the following discussion:

Attorney Chale said it was her recommendation. She thinks it's a good practice and they've had good experiences with it in Tivoli. They do have people absent from time to time. The Planning board has had some difficulty with absences and it would be prudent to have this in place. The Board would have the opportunity to appoint two alternate members for each of the Boards.

Supervisor Crane said it seems sensible to her that it resolves the question of lack of quorum.

RESOLUTION #23 2008

RE: AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE WETLANDS TRAIL GRANT FROM THE NY STATE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND HISTORICAL PRESERVATION.

On a motion of Councilwoman Micki Strawinski, seconded by Councilman James Ross, move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Copy Attached

RESOLUTION #24 2008

RE: DESIGNATING RED HOOK RECREATIONAL PARK TRAIL

On a motion of Supervisor Sue Crane, seconded by Councilman James Ross, move to accept the resolution that the Town Board of the Town of Red Hook hereby designate said trail as "Wetlands Walk".

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Copy Attached

There will be special events at "Wetlands Walk" on April 26, 2008.

RESOLUTION #25 2008

RE: APPROVING A LAND USE TRAINING COURSE PRESENTED BY TED FINK OF GREENPLAN, INC. FOR TOWN OF RED HOOK PLANNING BOARD AND ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS.

On a motion of Supervisor Sue Crane, seconded by Councilman Harry Colgan, move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Copy Attached

Supervisor Crane introduced Pete Setaro, engineer from Morris Associates. Mr. Setaro was here to clarify discussions regarding charges the Town incurred and the budget issues, if any, around the Community Development Block Grant funding for the curb of the connector road by the recycling center.

Pete Setaro attached a copy of the February 19, 2008 letter to the one he wrote March 25, 2008. He explained that there is a budget issue. It came about initially when they were told there was \$300,000 available through the two grants. Those were the figures they were working around. When they started the project they were also concerned that the road would bisect where the recycling center is. They were told when they started that the Town would work with the Recycling Center people to come up with a plan and figure out what had to be done. Morris Associates did the plans, got the approval for the plans, put the plans out for bid, and got the results back. Before they started construction they met with Highway Superintendent Wayne Hildenbrand and decided certain things would have to be moved in order to start the work. After they started work there still hadn't been any coordination. Thinking they had \$300,000 they met with TJ Hackett, Recycling Manager in terms of trying to see what they would need to reconfigure around the building so the Recycling Center could still function. They came up with a rough plan. Mr. Setaro showed the Board the plan. They received pricing from BTM and negotiated a price, ran it by the Town Board around December or January. At that time they thought they had \$300,000 to do the work and get it back in shape. In approximately mid-December he had to go to Dutchess County Planning Department to look at some bills the Town submitted in regard to the project. While he was down there they had sheets out and he noticed that the Town had a grant for \$150,000 and the second grant was only for \$120,000. He panicked because the Town already authorized the work to start. He immediately called the construction company regarding the money and the construction came to a halt. Mr. Setaro met with Business Manager Deb Marks to go through the invoices. It looks like we will have a shortfall of \$15,400. Some of that was work that BTM already performed and some was their time doing coordination. Those are for bills that are committed for services. In terms of completing the Recycling Center area, he talked to Highway Superintendent Hildenbrand who graciously agreed to use his men to reconfigure the area. The contractor BTM is slated to come back either later next week or early the following week. They want to make sure the area is dried out. As far as the project work they have some cleanup to do and some top soiling to do. When they come back Highway Superintendent Hildenbrand will work with them. Mr. Setaro put together the following estimate: 250 tons of Item #4 at \$2000, 3 directional signs with metal

posts at \$100 apiece, and 4 concrete ballards for \$50 apiece. The total comes to \$2500 and he rounded it up to \$3000, which would be money on top of the \$15,000.

Councilman Ross asked if that figure included the \$500 we still owed Morris Associates.

Pete Setaro answered it did.

Councilwoman Strawinski said that we still receive calls about the goods exchange. In January we discussed a temporary fix to at least allow access to the building.

Pete Setaro explained that the rain effects the construction.

Councilman Ross responded that to be honest, we don't like to spend the money but at this point we have no choice. We have to get it up and running.

On a motion of Councilman Ross, seconded by Councilman Colgan, move to authorize the expenditure to do the construction as quickly as weather permits not to exceed \$18,500.

Adopted	Ayes	5	Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	0	

Supervisor Crane wants to meet with Peter Setaro regarding the scenic road maps in the future.

Pete Setaro has the last preliminary official map. The trails, scenic roads and Anderson Commons were taken off based on a discussion with the Town Board.

Supervisor Crane wants to take the clean map and deal with one thing at a time. Scenic roads would be the easiest thing for us to decide on so she would like to get that done in a future workshop. The map was overwhelming with the number of features involved.

Mr. Setaro will provide more copies of the maps.

Supervisor Crane thanked Mr. Setaro.

NORTHERN ASSEMBLAGE

Supervisor Crane recused herself at 8:35 pm because she has a minor interest in one of the properties.

Councilman Colgan asked if she would return for the discussion regarding the other properties.

Attorney Chale answered that she would not.

Attorney Chale suggested voting on the properties in concurrence. She has two resolutions for the Board to consider in each case, one a Negative Declaration for SEQRA purposes after we complete the Environmental Assessment and the other is a resolution regarding purchase of development rights. Regarding the EAF's, Attorney Chale did include a description in the first portion of the EAF of all of the parcels. They each have their own Environmental Assessment Form. They are treated as a Type-1 for the purposes of the evaluation because they are in close proximity to an historic district and the Clermont property. She asked the Board to review and confirm that there are no negative impacts.

RESOLUTION #26 2008

RE: AUTHORIZING FILING OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPERTY OWNED BY ANNE AND CHARLES BLUM.

On a motion of Councilman Robert Latimer, seconded by Councilwoman Micki Strawinski, move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted	Ayes	4	Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	0	
	Recused	1	Crane

Copy Attached

RESOLUTION #27 2008

RE: AUTHORIZING FILING OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPERTY OWNED BY JANE SHACHAT

On a motion of Councilman Robert Latimer, seconded by Councilman Harry Colgan move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted	Ayes	4	Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	0	
	Recused	1	Crane

Copy Attached

RESOLUTION #28 2008

RE: AUTHORIZING FILING OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPERTY OWNED BY CORNELIA McGIVER AND GREGORY BLUM

On a motion of Councilwoman Micki Strawinski, seconded by Councilman Robert Latimer move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted	Ayes	4	Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	0	
	Recused	1	Crane

Copy Attached

RESOLUTION #29 2008

RE: AUTHORIZING FILING OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPERTY OWNED BY AGRAGATE FARM, LLC

On a motion of Councilwoman Micki Strawinski, seconded by Councilman James Ross, move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted	Ayes	4	Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	0	
	Recused	1	Crane

Copy Attached

Attorney Chale explained that the farm assemblage has been evaluated and are considered a group because of their relative impact.

Attorney Chale began with the Anne and Charles Blum property. The total project cost is \$381,000. Scenic Hudson's contribution is to be \$120,000. The landowner's contribution is \$70,500, and the Town's contribution is \$190,500. The resolution provides an authorization to negotiate the terms of a written agreement with Scenic Hudson Land Trust. Attorney Chale explained that this will be a conditional commitment. We did hold a Public Hearing on this. She gave the new Board members all reports for their review.

Councilman Ross explained that we have four parcels altogether. We all feel very strongly about saving as much land as possible. However, on the three smaller parcels, as far as spending Town money for the preservation of the land, he doesn't feel that it is warranted. He understands the value of the project however to spend Town money goes beyond the intent of the PDR. Secondly, he would never vote for it when there's a landowner contribution and that landowner contribution is not also to the benefit of the Town. He questions the landowner contribution. Scenic Hudson's appraiser appraised the properties and did not approve their 50% portion for the full amount, therefore the landowner made up the 50%. The only way he would approve it would be if that contribution was split between the Town and Scenic Hudson, and not just to the benefit of Scenic Hudson. The only value to him is the fact that they are part of the one larger piece. They would be much too costly to develop. He won't vote for it if the landowner's gift is

not shared with the taxpayers of the Town and only goes to Scenic Hudson. That comment applies to all of the other properties.

Councilman Latimer referred to the appraisals and the gifts from the landowners. It seems to be the choice of the landowners. Where someone directs their gifts is a personal choice. The merits of this seem to be pretty well established by study and appraisal. The overwhelming theme is that it creates a whole of the view shed, the road frontage and the compatibility of the four pieces of property. He views them as one even though they are four parcels.

Councilman Colgan feels it is absolutely essential to save these farms. We save a couple of miles of frontage on Route 9G in perpetuity. It has a major impact on the gateway to the gateway of the County, the Town and to Tivoli. It has a major impact in that it saves the land adjacent to the Sawkill which is part of the water shed that serves Tivoli. The financial aspect of it is the personal choice of the landowners. He gives them credit for doing that. Councilman Colgan is satisfied with the values of the properties.

Councilwoman Strawinski echoes the sentiments from the Public Hearings and from what we heard from the various committees. She agrees with protecting the land as a group and is supportive of it.

Councilman Ross explained that the idea is to watch how we spend taxpayer's money on individual parcels.

With no further comments the vote was taken.

RESOLUTION #30 2008

RE: REGARDING PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS OF ANNE AND CHARLES BLUM

On a motion of Councilwoman Micki Strawinski, seconded by Councilman Robert Latimer for discussion, move to accept the resolution with changes.

Adopted	Ayes	3	Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	1	Ross
	Recuse	1	Crane

Copy Attached

Attorney Chale moved on to the PDR of Jane Schachat. The estimated purchase price is \$179,000. We have a \$55,000 approved Scenic Hudson contribution and a contribution from the landowner for \$34,500. The Town's cost will not exceed \$89,500. The same terms and conditions with respect to this approval as the previous resolution apply.

RESOLUTION #31 2008

RE: REGARDING PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS OF JANE SCHACHAT.

On a motion of Councilman Robert Latimer, seconded by Councilwoman Micki Strawinski move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted	Ayes	3	Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	1	Ross
	Recused	1	Crane

Copy Attached

Attorney Chale referred to the resolution of PDR of Cornelia McGiver and Gregory Blum. The funding anticipated is \$76,000 from Scenic Hudson, \$47,500 from landowner contribution with a total purchase price estimated is \$247,000. The Town's contribution would be \$123,500 and the same terms and conditions in the approval.

RESOLUTION #32 2008

RE: REGARDING PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS OF CORNELIA McGIVER AND GREGORY BLUM.

On a motion of Councilwoman Micki Strawinski, seconded by Councilman Harry Colgan, move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted	Ayes	3	Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	1	Ross
	Recused	1	Crane

Copy Attached

Attorney Chale moved to the last resolution regarding Agra-Gate Farm. The funding from Scenic Hudson is \$420,000 with a total estimated purchase price of \$840,000. The Town's portion is \$420,000. The only difference in this resolution is that the Town's consideration of this project has been authorized by the Honorable Christine Sproat dated January 11, 2007.

Councilman Ross was surprised that the appraised value of this parcel was a couple thousand less per acre. He understands the difference between smaller and larger lots. However this piece is one he feels falls within the guidelines and is very much worth saving. It is all view shed and has majority of the road frontage. It would be the easiest and least expensive to develop. Therefore, he feels it was the one most valuable and most important to spend taxpayer's dollars on.

RESOLUTION #33 2008

RE: REGARDING PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS OF THE AGRA-GATE FARM, LLC.

On a motion of Councilman Robert Latimer, seconded by Councilman Harry Colgan, move to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted	Ayes	4	Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
	Nays	0	
	Recuse	1	Crane

Copy Attached

CORRESPONDENCE

Supervisor Crane received three applications for service. One from Jane Ferguson who wishes to serve on the CAC, one from Eleanor Friery who is interested in the Tree Commission and one from Phil Seymour would like to serve on the Community Preservation Fund Committee. In regard to the responses she received from people interested in serving on the Community Preservation Fund Committee, she would like to put that on the April 1, 2008 agenda.

Supervisor Crane sent a letter to Mayors Cohen and Cordier about the LOSAP program telling them that Councilman Latimer agreed to be the Town of Red Hook liaison and that the Board appointed Rose Rider, our Town Budget Officer, to serve as the at-large designee. She asked the two mayors to let her know who they would appoint.

Supervisor Crane received correspondence from Peter Russo regarding traffic on Route 9 North. He complains of speeding, noise and traffic congestion. He asked what could be done about it and asked Councilman Latimer about what can be done. She asked the Board to think about it and advise her as to what they think. She thinks the DOT might be the first place to start.

Supervisor Crane received a letter from Assemblyman Marc Molinaro regarding MJ Watch Consulting and his concerns about their overall business practices and assessments used by that firm. She asked for the Board's consideration. Our Assessor happens to be sending out correspondence addressing the issue of MJ Watch. She will provide the Board with a copy of that letter.

Time Warner Cable sent a letter notifying the Town that channels may or may not be changed from their lineup.

Mr. John Douglas sent a memo regarding attendance at several Disaster Preparedness Training sessions. Supervisor Crane authorized them to send Dick Franklin to a session on April 6 & 7, 2008. We will get a further report on that at the April 1, 2008 meeting.

Supervisor Crane addressed a question Councilman Latimer had about how many acres under study from Clermont. There were 4,767 acres, 32 farms and gross sales were \$1.65 million.

Two letters from the Zoning Enforcement Officer went out. One, to enforce signage on the Hardscrabble property for the Subway Shop and another to a resident on Harvard Street regarding accumulation of rubbish and garbage.

ANDERSON RECREATION FEES SCHEDULE – ATTORNEY/CLIENT SESSION

On a motion of Supervisor Crane, seconded by Councilman Ross, move to go into Attorney/Client session at 9:15 pm.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

On a motion of Councilman Latimer, seconded by Councilman Ross, move to close the Attorney/Client session.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

On a motion of Councilman Ross, seconded by Councilwoman Strawinski moved to reopen the Board meeting.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Supervisor Crane announced that because the issue at hand is largely legal, Attorney Chale will read the conclusion of the Board. (Copy Attached)

RESOLUTION #34 2008

RE: REGARDING RECREATION FEE SCHEDULE

On a motion of Councilman James Ross, seconded by Councilwoman Micki Strawinski, moved to accept the resolution as read.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Copy Attached

On a motion of Supervisor Crane, seconded by Councilman Colgan moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:10 pm.

Adopted Ayes 5 Crane, Ross, Strawinski, Colgan, Latimer
 Nays 0

Respectfully submitted,

Sue McCann, Town Clerk